# **Observations of Mesosphere Summer Echoes at different sites** at 69°N and 78°N using calibrated radar experiments

10th International Workshop on Technical and Scientific Aspects of MST Radar Piura, Peru, May 13-20, 2003

R. Latteck<sup>(1)</sup>, W. Singer<sup>(1)</sup>, R. Rüster<sup>(2)</sup>

(1) Leibniz-Institut für Atmosphärenphysik, Schloss-Str. 6,D-18225 Kühlungsborn, Germany (2) Max-Planck-Institut für Aeronomie, D-37191 Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany

# Introduction

Polar Mesosphere Summer Echoes (PMSE) have been observed with VHF radars around 50 MHz at various high-latitude locations for more than 20 vears. The occurrence and seasonal variation of PMSEs, their dependence on solar and geomagnetic activity, their relation with mesospheric dynamics and possible causes of this phenomenon are widely studied.

A still open question is the inter-comparison of the various radar experiments as well as the latitudinal dependence of the strength of PMSE as most of the observations are based on relative signal-to-noise ratios and not on absolutely calibrated echo power. In addition, absolute measurements allow also the estimation of further physical parameters (e.g. energy dissipation rate). A few radars were absolutely calibrated using comparable methods such as signals from a noise source and from a delay line. These are the ALOMAR SOUSY radar operated at Andenes/Norway (69°N) from 1994 to 1997, the SOUSY Svalbard radar in operation at Longyearbyen/Svalbard (79°N) since 1999, and theALWIN radar atAndenes/Norway since 1998.

The advantages and disadvantages of the calibration techniques are discussed. PMSE/MSE observations from two locations at 69°N and 79°N are converted to radar reflectivity. The sensitivity of the different radar experiments as well as strong PMSE events are compared on an absolute level.



#### a) Noise generator

A signal from a noise source calibrated in kTo is fed into the receiving part of the radar system. Since the environment temperature T and the receiver bandwith  $\Delta f$  are known, the input noise power can be derived by

$$P_{inp-a}[W] = \Delta f k T = c T$$

The output of the signal processing unit is usually given as power  $P_{out-a}$  in digitiser units or *tpu* and linear depending on  $P_{inn-a}$ .

## b) Delay line

A fraction of the transmitted signal with the known powe  $rP_{inn,b}$  is sent through a "delay line" what simulates an echo return. The delayed and attenuated signal is fed into the receive path and results in an output signal  $P_{out-b}$  in tpu what is proportional to  $P_{inn-b}$ 

The ratio  $P_{int,b}/P_{out,b}$  from procedure b) gives a factor  $E_b$  for converting tpu output power of a coherent received signal into real power. Procedure a) results in a calibration factor  $E_a$  for incoherent signals what is in relation to  $E_b$  by

#### $E_a = (2 M N L) E_b$

where N is the code length, M is the number of coherent integrations and L is equal 2 in case of phase flipping.



The pictures show output power  $P_{outca}$  vs. noise temperature (red curve) after calibration measurements at A LWIN radar (left) and Svalbard SOUSY radar (right). A straight (blue) line  $P_{auta}[tpu] = a + bT$  was fit into the curves with the parameters  $b = \Delta P_{out,a} / \Delta T$  and  $a = P_{out,a} (T=0)$  (blue dots). Since the input noise power is  $P_{inv,a} / W = \Delta f k T = c T$ the calibration factor for incoherent signals can be determined by:

$$I_a = \frac{P_{inp-a}[W]}{\left(P_{out,a}[tpu] - a\right)} = \frac{1}{2}$$

from what the calibration factor for coherent signals  $E_{k}$  can be derived. These factors as well as radar and experiment parameters for both radars are shown in the right table.

### Results

The calibration procedure allows to convert the received power **P**. from tape units (tpu) to physical units (W). If daily variation of the noise power  $P_n$  is known, the signal or echo power  $P_s = P_r - P_n$ can be expressed in terms of radar reflectivity (Inhester, et.al, 1990): 0 212

$$\eta_{radar}[m^{-1}] = \frac{\delta r \kappa_{radar}}{G\Delta r} \cdot \frac{P_s}{\alpha P_t}$$

where  $\mathbf{k}_{reder}$  is the radar wave number,  $\mathbf{G}$  is the antenna gain,  $\alpha$  is a value for all losses in the transmission and receiving path of the radar system and P, the peak power.

With the 1999 PMSE experiment configuration parameters from both radars a minimum detectable radar reflectivity  $\eta_{min}$  could be determined using  $E_b$  based on the connection between  $E_a$  and  $E_b$ .

r -la	ALWIN	SSR	
η <sub>min</sub> [m <sup>+</sup> ]	9.15.10	3.45.10	

Since the connection between the correlation factors  $E_a$  and  $E_b$  is based on ideal assumptions, the real minimum detectable radar reflectivity  $\eta_{min}$  may be a larger value. Calibration measurement using a *delay line* after procedure b) will be performed soon to verify the results.

The OSWIN radar at Kühlungsborn (54°N) provides continuous observations of Mesosphere Summer Echoes (MSE) at midlatitudes since 1998. We will add results from this radar to this comparison to get a latitudinal dependence of the strength of PMSE based on radar reflectivity.



8:00 12:00 16:00 20:00 24:00 Time [UT] 0:00 4:00

A typical PMSE observed on July 7, 1999 at 78°N and 69°N presented as contour plot of signal power  $P_s$ .



E 86



ıdar	SSR	ALWIN	
	53.5 MHz	53.5 MHz	
	60 kW	36 kW	
	0.63	0.66	
	356	144	
	4°	6°	
	33 dBi	28.8 dBi	
nent	DBS	SA	DBS
	1.0 kHz	1.5 kHz	
	82	64	
	64	256	128
	72.0 - 120.0	73.2 - 96.6	
	300m / 2µs	300m / 2µs 300m / 2µs	
	0.2 µs rise	"normal"	
	20 bit complementary	bit complementary 16 bit complement	
	250	500	
	5*	0*	7*
	V, NW, SE, NE, SW	v	V, NW, SE, NE, SW
	1.35 10-17	6.74 ·10 <sup>-16</sup>	
	8.04 ·10 <sup>-21</sup>	6.58 ·10 <sup>-19</sup>	



Profiles of signal power  $P_s$  (left) and converted radar reflectivity  $\eta$  (right) using factor  $E_{h}$ . The minimum detectable radar reflectivty  $\eta_{min}$  was derived from these example profiles.