# Gravity wave activity and dissipation around tropospheric jet streams

W. Singer, R. Latteck P. Hoffmann, A. Serafimovich

Leibniz-Institute of Atmospheric Physics, 18225 Kühlungsborn, Germany (email: <u>singer@iap-kborn.de</u>)



## Outline

Study of gravity waves and their dissipation in the troposphere and lower stratosphere in connection with tropospheric jets at Andenes (69°N) in January 2005 using a VHF radar and radiosondes

- Estimation of gravity wave parameters
  - wavelengths, periods, energy propagation
- Determination of energy dissipation rates from
  - spectral width of received radar signal
  - absolute echo power of received radar signal
- Discussion of gravity wave propagation and observed turbulent energy dissipation rates



#### 23 – 29 January 2005

#### strong southeastward directed winds above Andenes



)aten: GFS-Modeli des amerikanischen Wetterdienstes (C) Wetterzentrale ww.wetterzentrale.de



## **Observations in January 2005**





- Andenes (69.2°N; 16.0°E)
- MST radar ALWIN
  - backscattered echo power
  - 3D-winds
  - ➤ waves, dissipation rates
  - altitude range: 1 16 km
  - resolution: 300m, 2min
- Radiosonde launches
  - horizontal winds, temperature

ALWIN MST radar				
Radar frequency	53.5 MHz			
Peak power	36 kW			
Pulse width	600m			
Range resolution	300m			
Altitude range	1 – 16 km, 50 – 114 km			
Operation modes	DBS, SA			
Half power beam width	6.0°			
Beam directions	Vertical, 4 off-zenith			



#### 23 – 29 January 2005 horizontal wind components from ALWIN VHF radar



- two southward directed jets at tropopause altitudes
- weak low level jet at around 3 km on 25 January 2005
  - mountain waves
- gravity wave analysis for selected periods



## Gravity Wave Parameters

- observed frequency  $\omega_{ob}$
- vertical wavenumber *m*
- ratio of the polarization ellipse *R* 
  - mean horizontal wind components || and  $\perp$  to the wave propagation  $\overline{U}$  and  $\overline{V}$

Coriolis parameter f

Brunt - Väisällä frequency N

$$R = \left| \frac{f}{\omega_{in}} - \frac{k}{m \omega_{in}} \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial z} \right| \qquad \omega_{in}^2 = f^2 + \frac{N^2 k^2}{m^2} - \frac{2 f k}{m} \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial z} \qquad \omega_{ob} = \omega_{in} + \overline{U} k$$
  
intrinsic frequency  $\omega_{in}$   
horizontal wavenumber  $k$   

$$Phase velocity  $\upsilon_{ph}, \upsilon_{pz}$   

$$Group velocity  $c_{gh}, c_{gz}$$$$$



•

## Case 1: 24 January 2005

Wavelet transform



Wavelet spectra applied to the meridional winds for periods < 20 h

- a) wavelet transform of the time series averaged over the altitude ranges 6-7.8 km
  - ➤ wave with observed periods of ~ 7 11 hours on 24 January
  - typical for inertia gravity waves

IAP

- b) wavelet transforms of vertical profiles of meridional winds
  - ➤ waves with vertical wavelengths of 3 4 km



#### Case 1: 24 January 2005

Hodograph wave analysis



- applied to radar measurements on 24.01.05, 06:30 UT
  - solid line: measured profiles
  - dashed line: fitted ellipse,
  - X starting point of the hodograph)
- Results
  - $\succ$  wave propagate north  $\leftrightarrow$  south
  - $\blacktriangleright$  change in the vertical propagation direction at about 5 km



#### Case 1: 24 January 2005

Gravity wave parameters above 6 km

Observed period	<i>T<sub>ob</sub></i> [h]	10	Vertical phase	v [m/s]	0.4
Intrinsic period	<i>T<sub>in</sub></i> [h]	2.4	velocity	, pz [, ~]	
Horizontal wavelength	$L_h$ [km]	71	Horizontal group	<i>v<sub>gh</sub></i> [m/s]	7.9
Vertical wavelength	$L_{z}$ [km]	3.8	Vertical group		
Horizontal phase velocity	<i>v<sub>ph</sub></i> [m/s]	8.2	velocity	$v_{pz}$ [m/s]	-0.4



Mean propagation direction, derived from Stokes analysis

Compare hodograph !



#### Case 2: 26 January 2005

Wavelet transform



#### Case 2: 26 January 2005

Wave analysis and gravity waves parameters



- spectra averaged for 12 h starting on 26.01.03, 12:00 UT
- gravity waves with vertical wavelengths of 3–6 km and >7 km are present between 1.8 km and 13.5 km



#### Mean rotary spectra height range 1.8 – 13.5 km



- mean rotary spectra (12hrs averaged) shifted by 6 hrs
- no gravity waves with a vertical wavelengths of 3–6 km were observed in absence of jets above Andenes
- gravity waves with vertical wavelengths > 7 km are characterised by a predominant upward directed energy propagation ▲
- gravity waves with vertical wavelengths of 3–6 km and downward directed energy propagation ▼ dominated in times of full developed jets above Andenes



## Wind speed and dominating energy propagation of GW derived from 12 hrs averaged spectra





#### Determination of turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate from spectral width of a received radar signal



 accurate calculation of spectral beam broadening (σ<sub>non-turb</sub>) by means of background wind field and wind gradient, antenna radiation pattern, pulse form and aspect sensitivity

Mean fluctuating velocity

<u>Turbulent kinetic energy</u> <u>dissipation rate</u>

$$v_{RMS}^{2} = \frac{\sigma_{turb}^{2}}{2 \cdot \ln(2)}$$
$$\varepsilon_{turb} \approx c \cdot v_{RMS}^{2} \cdot \omega_{B}$$

Hocking, *JATP* 1983, Hocking, MST10 proceedings

$$c = 0.4$$
  
 $\omega_B =$  Brunt-Väisälä frequency from radiosondes



Determination of turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate from absolute power  $P_r$  of a received radar signal

Hocking, *Radio Science*, 1985 Cohn, *JAOT*, 1995

Volume reflectivity

$$\eta = \frac{P_r \cdot 128 \cdot \pi^2 \cdot 2 \cdot \ln(2) \cdot r^2}{P_t \cdot G_t \cdot G_r \cdot \lambda^2 \cdot e \cdot \Theta_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \cdot c \cdot \tau}$$
$$\eta = P_r \cdot c_{sys} \cdot r^2$$

 $P_r$  [W]  $\rightarrow$  absolute calibration !

<u>Turbulent refractivity</u> <u>structure constant</u>

$$C_n^2 = \frac{1}{0.38} \cdot \eta \cdot \lambda^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

<u>Turbulent kinetic energy</u> <u>dissipation rate</u>

$$\overline{\varepsilon}_{turb} = \left(1.43 \cdot C_n^2 \cdot \omega_B^2 \cdot M^{-2} \cdot F^{-1}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

 $M = \text{generalized potential refractive} = -77.6 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot \frac{P}{T \cdot g} \cdot \omega_B^2$ 

 $\omega_B$  = Brunt-Väisälä frequency

P = pressure

T = Temperature

- g = acceleration due to gravity
- F = volume fill factor = 1



## Turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rates derived with different methods



- radar volume filled with turbulent scatterers (F=1) is assumed
- Brunt-Väisälä frequency from simultaneous radiosonde sounding
- disturbed power profiles due to external interference  $\bigcirc$
- turbulent energy dissipation rate profiles from both methods are in good agreement
- turbulence generation at altitudes of wind gradient

#IAP

#### Brunt-Väisälä frequency from GPS radiosondes 24 – 25 – 26 – 27 January 2005





## Turbulent energy dissipation rates from spectral widths





#### Estimation of the radar tropopause based on Gage/Green 1985





## Tropopause altitude and energy dissipation





## Summary

- VHF radar measurements and radiosonde soundings performed during the passage of tropospheric jet streams with core wind speeds up to 110 m/s have been analysed
  - gravity waves with vertical wavelengths of 3-4 km and > 7 km have been identified
  - short period waves were generated during jet passages
  - a stable tropopause around 12 km has been detected
- turbulent energy dissipation rates derived from
  - the spectral width of the received radar signal and
  - the absolute echo power of received radar signal are in good agreement
- enhanced turbulence energy dissipation (up to 30 mW/kg) was observed in regions of enhanced atmospheric stability around a stable tropopause of about 12 km
  - dissipation of gravity waves due to a rapid increase of atmospheric stability in the tropopause region (van Zandt & Fritts, 1989)

